

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/466,640	MAHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc R. Filipczyk	2163	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Marc R. Filipczyk.

(3) _____.

 (2) Dennis Haszko.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2006
Time: 2pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

9, 30 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to cancel signal claims 9 and 30, and to amend claim 32 by an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)